arXiv:1603.06073v1 [math.AT] 19 Mar 2016

SOME REMARKS ON STABLE ALMOST COMPLEX STRUCTURES ON MANIFOLDS

HUIJUN YANG

Abstract. Let X be an $(8k+i)$-dimensional pathwise connected CW-complex with $i = 1$ or 2 and $k \geq 0$, ξ be a real vector bundle over X. Suppose that ξ admits a stable complex structure over the $8k$-skeleton of X. Then we get that ξ admits a stable complex structure over X if the Steenrod square

$$\mathrm{Sq}^2 \colon H^{8k-1}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^{8k+1}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is surjective. As an application, let M be a 10-dimensional manifold with no 2-torsion in $H_i(M;\mathbb{Z})$ for $i = 1,2,3$, and no 3-torsion in $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$. Suppose that the Steenrod square

$$\mathrm{Sq}^2 \colon H^7(M;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^9(M;\mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is surjective. Then the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a stable almost complex structure on M are given in terms of the cohomology ring and characteristic classes of M.

Keywords. Stable almost complex structure, Obstructions, Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence, Differentiable Riemann-Roch theorem

MSC2010. 53C15, 57M50, 19L64

1. Introduction

First we introduce some notations. For a topological space X, let $Vect_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$ (resp. $Vect_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$) be the set of isomorphic classes of complex (resp. real) vector bundles on X, and let $\widetilde{K}(X)$ (resp. $\widetilde{KO}(X)$) be the reduced KU-group (resp. reduced KO-group) of X, which is the set of stable equivalent classes of complex (resp. real) vector bundles over X. For a map $f \colon X \to Y$ between topological spaces X and Y, denote by

$$f_u^* \colon \widetilde{K}(Y) \to \widetilde{K}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad f_o^* \colon \widetilde{KO}(Y) \to \widetilde{KO}(X)$$

the induced homomorphisms. For $\xi \in Vect_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ (resp. $\eta \in Vect_{\mathbb{C}}(X)$), we will denote by $\tilde{\xi} \in \widetilde{KO}(X)$ (resp. $\tilde{\eta} \in \widetilde{K}(X)$) the stable class of ξ (resp. η). Moreover, we will denote by

$w_i(\xi) = w_i(\tilde{\xi})$	the i-th Stiefel-Whitney class of ξ,
$p_i(\xi) = p_i(\tilde{\xi})$	the i-th Pontrjagin class of ξ,
$c_i(\eta) = c_i(\tilde{\eta})$	the i-th Chern class of η,
$ch(\tilde{\eta})$	the Chern character of $\tilde{\eta}$.

In particular, if X is a smooth manifold, we denote by

$$\begin{aligned} w_i(X) &= w_i(TX) && \text{the } i\text{-th Stiefel-Whitney class of } X,\\ p_i(X) &= p_i(TX) && \text{the } i\text{-th Pontrjagin class of } X, \end{aligned}$$

where TX is the tangent bundle of X.

It is known that there are natural homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{r}_X &\colon \widetilde{K}(X) \to \widetilde{KO}(X) && \text{the real reduction},\\ \tilde{c}_X &\colon \widetilde{KO}(X) \to \widetilde{K}(X) && \text{the complexification}, \end{aligned}$$

which induced from

$$\begin{aligned} r_X &\colon Vect_{\mathbb{C}}(X) \to Vect_{\mathbb{R}}(X) && \text{the real reduction},\\ c_X &\colon Vect_{\mathbb{R}}(X) \to Vect_{\mathbb{C}}(X) && \text{the complexification}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Let $\xi \in Vect_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ be a real vector bundle over X. We say that ξ admits a *stable complex structure* over X if there exists a complex vector bundle η over X such that $\tilde{r}_X(\tilde{\eta}) = \tilde{\xi}$, that is $\tilde{\xi} \in \operatorname{Im} \tilde{r}_X$. In particular, if X is a smooth manifold, we say that X admits a *stable almost complex structure* if TX admits a stable complex structure.

Let $U = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} U(n)$ (resp. $SO = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} SO(n)$) be the stable unitary (resp. special orthogonal) group. Denote by $\Gamma = SO/U$. Let X^q be the q-skeleton of X, and denote by $i\colon X^q \to X$ the inclusion map of q-skeleton of X. Suppose that ξ admits a stable complex structure over X^q, that is there exists a complex vector bundle η over X^q such that

$$i_o^*(\tilde{\xi}) = \tilde{r}_{X^q}(\tilde{\eta}).$$

Then the obstruction to extending η over the $(q+1)$-skeleton of X is denoted by

$$\mathfrak{o}_{q+1}(\eta) \ \in \ H^{q+1}(X, \pi_q(\Gamma))$$

where

$$\pi_q(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}, & q \equiv 2 \mod 4,\\ \mathbb{Z}/2, & q \equiv 0, -1 \mod 8,\\ 0, & otherwise. \end{cases}$$

(cf. Bott [4] or Massey [12, p.560]).

If $q \equiv 2 \mod 4$, that is the coefficient group $\pi_q(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}$, the obstructions $\mathfrak{o}_{q+1}(\eta)$ have been investigated by Massey [12, Theorem I]. For example,

$$\mathfrak{o}_3(\eta) = \beta w_2(\xi), \quad \mathfrak{o}_7(\eta) = \beta w_6(\xi), \tag{1.1}$$

where $\beta\colon H^i(M;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^{i+1}(M;\mathbb{Z})$ is the Bockstein homomorphism. Moreover, if $q \equiv -1 \mod 8$, hence $\pi_q(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}/2$, the obstruction $\mathfrak{o}_8(\eta)$ has been studied by Massey [12, Theorem III] , Thomas [16, Theorem 1.2] , Heaps [11], M. Čadek, M. Crabb and J. Vanžura [6, Proposition 4.1 (a)], Dessai [8, Theorem 1.9] and Yang[18, Corollary 1.6], etc. Furthermore, when X is a n-dimensional closed oriented smooth manifold with $n \equiv 0 \mod 8$, the obstruction $\mathfrak{o}_n(\eta)$ is determined

by Yang [18, Theorem 1.1]. However, in the case $q \equiv 0 \mod 8$, we only known that $\mathfrak{o}_{q+1}(\eta) = 0$ if $H^{q+1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$.

In this paper, the obstructions $\mathfrak{o}_{q+1}(\eta)$ for $q \equiv 0 \mod 8$ are investigated and our results can be state as follows.

Denote by $\mathrm{Sq}^2 \colon H^i(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^{i+2}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ the Steenrod square.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a $(8k + i)$-dimensional pathwise connected CW-complex with $i = 1$ or 2 and k the non-negative integral number, ξ be a real vector bundle over X. Suppose that X satisfying the condition*

$(*)$ $\qquad \mathrm{Sq}^2 \colon H^{8k-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^{8k+1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ *is surjective.*

Then ξ admits a stable complex structure over X if and only if it admits a stable complex structure over X^{8k}.

Remark 1.1. This theorem tell us that the final obstruction

$$\mathfrak{o}_{8k+1}(\eta) = 0$$

if X satisfying the condition $(*)$. The following examples tell us that the CW-complexes which satisfying the condition $(*)$ are exists .

Example 1.2. Trivial case: the CW-complex X with $H^{8k+1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$.

Example 1.3. Denote by $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$ the Grassmannian of k-planes in real $n + k$ dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^{n+k}$, $\zeta_{k,n}$ the universal k-plane bundle over $G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k})$. It is known that (cf. Borel [3]) $\dim G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}) = kn$ and

$$H^*(G_k(\mathbb{R}^{n+k}); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_1, \cdots, w_k, \bar{w_1}, \cdots, \bar{w_n}]/I_{k,n}$$

where $w_i = w_i(\zeta_{k,n})$ and $I_{k,n}$ is the ideal generated by the equations

$$(1 + w_1 + \cdots + w_k)(1 + \bar{w_1} + \cdots + \bar{w_n}) = 0.$$

Hence we get that $\dim G_3(\mathbb{R}^6) = 9$, $\dim G_2(\mathbb{R}^7) = 10$ and

$H^*(G_3(\mathbb{R}^6); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_1, w_2, w_3]/\langle w_1^4 + w_1^2 w_2 + w_2^2, w_1^3 w_2 + w_1^2 w_3, w_1^3 w_3 + w_3^2 \rangle$,

$H^*(G_2(\mathbb{R}^7); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_1, w_2]/\langle w_1^6 + w_1^4 w_2 + w_2^3, w_1^5 w_2 + w_1 w_2^3 \rangle$.

Therefore

$$H^9(G_3(\mathbb{R}^6); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2, \quad H^9(G_2(\mathbb{R}^7); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

are all generated by $w_1^5 w_2^2$ and we have

$$\mathrm{Sq}^2(w_1^5 w_2) = w_1^5 w_2^2.$$

Example 1.4. Denote by $\mathbb{C}P^2$ the 2-dimensional complex projective space, S^l the l-dimensional sphere. One may easily verify that the following manifolds are all satisfying the condition $(*)$:

- $M_1 = \mathbb{C}P^2 \times S^5 \times S^1$,
- $M_2 = M_1 \times S^{8k}, k > 0$,
- $\sharp_n M_i$ the connected sum of n copies of $M_i, i = 1, 2$,
- etc.

Let M be an n-dimensional closed oriented smooth manifold. It is a classical topic in geometry and topology to determine the necessary and sufficient conditions for M to admits a stable almost complex structure. These are only known in the case $n \leq 8$ (cf. [17], [9], [12], [16], [11], [7], [6], [18], etc.). If $n = 10$, Thomas and Heaps determined these conditions in the case $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$ and $w_4(M) = 0$ (cf. [16, Theorem 1.6] and [11, Theorem 2]). Moreover, Dessai [8, Theorems 1.2, 1.9] got them in the case $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $H_i(M;\mathbb{Z}), i = 2, 3$ has no 2-torsion, no assumption on $w_4(M)$ is made.

One may see from the proof of [16, Theorem 1.6] and [11, Theorem 2] that the assumption $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$ is used just to guarantee that the final obstruction $\mathfrak{o}_9(\eta) = 0$. So as an application of Theorem 1, the assumption $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z}/2) = 0$ in [16, Theorem 1.6] and [11, Theorem 2] can be replaced by the assumption that the Stennrod square $\mathrm{Sq}^2\colon H^7(M;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^9(M;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is surjective. That is trivial, we will not list them here.

As the second application of Theorem 1, we can get the following result.

From now on, M will be a 10-dimensional closed oriented smooth manifold with no 2-torsion in $H_i(M;\mathbb{Z}), i = 1, 2, 3$ and no 3-torsion in $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$. We may also suppose that the Steenrod square

$$\mathrm{Sq}^2\colon H^7(M;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^9(M;\mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is surjective.

Since $H_2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ has no 2-torsion, it follows from the universal coefficient theorem that $H^3(M;\mathbb{Z})$ has no 2-torsion. Hence the $\mod 2$ reduction homomorphism

$$\rho_2\colon H^2(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(M;\mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is surjective by using the long exact Bockstein sequence associated to the coefficient sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \to 0.$$

Therefore M is $spin^c$. We may fix an element $c \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying

$$\rho_2(c) = w_2(M).$$

Definition 1.5. Set

$$\mathcal{D}(M) \triangleq \{x \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z}) \mid x^2 + cx = 2z_x \text{ for some } z_x \in H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})\}$$

where (uniquely determined) z_x is depending on x. One may find that $\mathcal{D}(M)$ is a subgroup of $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ and it does not depend on the choice of c.

Theorem 2. *Let M be a 10-dimensional closed oriented smooth manifold with no 2-torsion in $H_i(M;\mathbb{Z})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, no 3-torsion in $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$. Suppose that the Steenrod square*

$$\mathrm{Sq}^2\colon H^7(M;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^9(M;\mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is surjective. Then M admits a stable almost complex structure if and only if

$$w_4^2(M) \cdot \rho_2(x) = (\mathrm{Sq}^2\rho_2(z_x)) \cdot w_4(M) \tag{1.2}$$

holds for every $x \in \mathcal{D}(M)$.

Remark 1.6. This theorem is a generalization of Dessai [8, Theorem]. In fact, the congruence (1.2) is a simplification of the congruence (1.2) in [8, Theorem 1.2].

Remark 1.7. One may find that we only need to check the congruence (1.2) for the generators of $\mathfrak{D}(M)$.

Obviously, one can get that

Corollary 1.8. *Let* M *be as in Theorem* 2. *Suppose that* $w_4(M) = 0$. *Then* M *always admits a stable almost complex structure.*

Remark 1.9. Compare Thomas [16, Theorem 1.6].

For M which has "nice" cohomology, we have

Corollary 1.10. *Let* M *be as in Theorem* 2. *Assume in addition that* $H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ *is generated by* h *and* $h^2 \not\equiv 0 \mod 2$. *Then* M *always admits a stable complex structure.*

Remark 1.11. Compare Dessai [8, Corollary 1.3].

Example 1.12. One can deduced easily from Corollary 1.10 that the manifold $\mathbb{C}P^2 \times S^5 \times S^1$ (in Example 1.4) must admits a stable complex structure. In fact, $\mathbb{C}P^2 \times S^5 \times S^1$ is a complex manifold because both $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and $S^5 \times S^1$ are complex manifold. Moreover, it follows from Theorem 2 and the cohomology ring of $\sharp_n \mathbb{C}P^2 \times S^5 \times S^1, n \geq 1$ that they all admit a stable almost complex structure.

This paper is arranged as follows. Firstly, the Theorem 1 is proved in §2. Then in order to prove the Theorem 2 and the Corollary 1.10 in §4 , we investigated the obstruction to extend complex vector bundles over the $(2q-1)$-skeleton of X to $(2q+1)$-skeleton in §3.

2. The proof of Theorem 1

Let X be a $(8k+i)$-dimensional pathwise connected CW-complex, $i = 1$ or 2. In this section, combining the Bott exact sequence with the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of $KO^*(X)$, we give the proof of Theorem 1.

Let BU (resp. BO) be the classifying space of the stable unitary group U (resp. stable orthogonal group O). Since O/U is homotopy equivalent to $\Omega^{-6}BO$ (cf. [4]), the canonical fibering

$$O/U \hookrightarrow BU \to BO$$

gives rise to a long exact sequence of K-groups (we call it the Bott exact sequence):

$$\cdots \to \widetilde{KO}^{q-2}(X) \to \widetilde{K}^q(X) \xrightarrow{\tilde{r}_X} \widetilde{KO}^q(X) \xrightarrow{\gamma} \widetilde{KO}^{q-1}(X) \to \cdots \tag{2.1}$$

which is similar to the exact sequence given by Bott in [5, p.75].

According to Switzer [14, pp.336-341], the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of $KO^*(X)$ is the spectral sequence $\{E_r^{p,q}, d_r\}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} E_2^{p,q} &\cong H^p(X; KO^q), \\ E_\infty^{p,q} &\cong F^{p,q}/F^{p+1,q-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F^{p,q} = \mathrm{Ker}\,[i_o^*\colon KO^{p+q}(X) \to KO^{p+q}(X^{p-1})],$$

and the coefficient ring of KO-theory is (cf. Bott [5, p. 73])

$$KO^* = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha, x, \gamma, \gamma^{-1}]/(2\alpha, \alpha^3, \alpha x, x^2 - 4\gamma)$$

with the degrees $|\,\alpha\,| = -1$, $|\,x\,| = -4$ and $|\,\gamma\,| = -8$.

It is well known that the differentials d_2 of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of $KO^*(X)$ are given as follows (see M. Fujii [10, Formula (1.3)] for instance):

$$d_2^{*,q} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{Sq}^2\rho_2, & q \equiv 0 \mod 8, \\ \mathrm{Sq}^2, & q \equiv -1 \mod 8, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Denote by $j\colon X \to (X, X^{8k})$ and $i\colon X^{8k} \to X$ the inclusions. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \widetilde{K}(X, X^{8k}) & \xrightarrow{j_u^*} & \widetilde{K}(X) & \xrightarrow{i_u^*} & \widetilde{K}(X^{8k}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_u} & K^1(X, X^{8k}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{r}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{r}_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{r}_{X^{8k}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{r}} \\ \widetilde{KO}(X, X^{8k}) & \xrightarrow{j_o^*} & \widetilde{KO}(X) & \xrightarrow{i_o^*} & \widetilde{KO}(X^{8k}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_o} & KO^1(X, X^{8k}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_X} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_{X^{8k}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma} \\ KO^{-1}(X, X^{8k}) & \xrightarrow{j_o^*} & KO^{-1}(X) & \xrightarrow{i_o^*} & KO^{-1}(X^{8k}) & \xrightarrow{\delta_o} & KO(X, X^{8k}) \end{array} \tag{2.3}$$

where the horizontal sequence is the long exact sequence of K and KO groups, the vertical sequence is the Bott exact sequence (2.1).

Lemma 2.1. *The homomorphism $\tilde{r}\colon K^1(X, X^{8k}) \to KO^1(X, X^{8k})$ is injective.*

Proof. Denote by $i'\colon (X^{8k+1}, X^{8k}) \to (X, X^{8k})$, $j'\colon (X, X^{8k}) \to (X, X^{8k+1})$ the inclusions. Then by the naturality of the long exact sequence of K theory, we got the following exact ladder

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K^1(X, X^{8k+1}) & \xrightarrow{j_u'^*} & K^1(X, X^{8k}) & \xrightarrow{i_u'^*} & K^1(X^{8k+1}, X^{8k}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{r}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{r}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tilde{r}} \\ KO^1(X, X^{8k+1}) & \xrightarrow{j_o'^*} & KO^1(X, X^{8k}) & \xrightarrow{i_o'^*} & KO^1(X^{8k+1}, X^{8k}). \end{array}$$

Therefore, this lemma can be deduced easily from the facts that $K^1(X, X^{8k+1}) = 0$ and $\tilde{r}\colon K^1(X^{8k+1}, X^{8k}) \to KO^1(X^{8k+1}, X^{8k})$ is injective. □

Lemma 2.2. *If the Steenrod square* $\mathrm{Sq}^2\colon H^{8k-1}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^{8k+1}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ *is surjective, we must have*

$$\mathrm{Im}\ j_o^* \subseteq \mathrm{Im}\ \tilde{r}_X.$$

Proof. In the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence, since $KO^{-1}(X, X^{8k+1}) = 0$, it follows that

$$F^{8k+2,8k-3} = \mathrm{Ker}[i_o^*\colon KO^{-1}(X) \to KO^{-1}(X^{8k+1})] = 0.$$

Hence

$$E_\infty^{8k+1,8k-2} = F^{8k+1,8k-2}/F^{8k+2,8k-3} = F^{8k+1,8k-2}.$$

Therefore, by the equation (2.2), the surjectivity of the Steenrod square

$$\mathrm{Sq}^2\colon H^{8k-1}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^{8k+1}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$$

implies that

$$F^{8k+1,8k-2} = E_\infty^{8k+1,8k-2} = 0.$$

That is, the homomorphism $i_o^*\colon KO^{-1}(X) \to KO^{-1}(X^{8k})$ is injective. Then it follows from the exactness of the diagram (2.3) that the homomorphism

$$j_o^*\colon KO^{-1}(X, X^{8k}) \to KO^{-1}(X)$$

is a zero homomorphism. So the composition homomorphism

$$\gamma_X \circ j_o^* = j_o^* \circ \gamma = 0,$$

and we get that

$$\mathrm{Im}\ j_o^* \subseteq \mathrm{Im}\ \tilde{r}_X.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1. Obviously, ξ admits a stable complex structure over X implies that ξ admits a stable complex structure over X^{8k}.

Conversely, suppose that ξ admits a stable complex structure over X^{8k}. That is there exists a stable complex vector bundle $\tilde{\eta}' \in \widetilde{K}(X^{8k})$ such that

$$\tilde{r}_{X^{8k}}(\tilde{\eta}') = i_o^*(\tilde{\xi}).$$

Then it follows from the exactness of the diagram (2.3) and the Lemma 2.1 that there is a stable complex vector bundle $\tilde{\eta}_1$ such that $i_u^*(\tilde{\eta}_1) = \tilde{\eta}'$ and

$$i_o^*(\tilde{r}_X(\tilde{\eta}_1) - \tilde{\xi}) = 0.$$

That is,

$$\tilde{r}_X(\tilde{\eta}_1) - \tilde{\xi} \in \mathrm{Im} j_o^*.$$

Therefore, by the Lemma 2.2, the surjectivity of the Steenrod Square

$$\mathrm{Sq}^2\colon H^{8k-1}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^{8k+1}(X;\mathbb{Z}/2)$$

implies that ξ admits a stable complex structure over X.

□

3. The obstruction for an extension of a vector bundle over X^{2q-1} to X^{2q+1}

Let X be a pathwise connected CW-complex. In order to prove Theorem 2, in this section, we will investigate the obstruction for an extension of a complex vector bundle over the $(2q-1)$-skeleton X^{2q-1} of X to the $(2q+1)$-skeleton X^{2q+1}.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a pathwise connected CW-complex and $\tilde{\eta} \in \widetilde{K}(X^{2q-1})$ a stable complex vector bundle over X^{2q-1}. Denote by $\vartheta_{2q+1}(\tilde{\eta}) \in H^{2q+1}(X;\mathbb{Z})$ the obstruction to extend $\tilde{\eta}$ to X^{2q+1}. Then*

$$(q-1)! \cdot \vartheta_{2q+1}(\tilde{\eta}) = 0.$$

Proof. . Denote by $i\colon X^{2q-1} \to X^{2q}$ and $j\colon X^{2q} \to (X^{2q}, X^{2q-1})$ the inclusions. Let $f\colon \coprod S^{2q} \to X^{2q}$ be the attaching map such that

$$X^{2q+1} = X^{2q} \cup_f \coprod \mathbb{D}^{2q+1},$$

where the symbol $\coprod$ means the disjoint union. Then it follows from $\widetilde{K}(S^{2q-1}) \cong 0$ that we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \widetilde{K}(X^{2q+1}) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ \widetilde{K}(X^{2q}, X^{2q-1}) & \xrightarrow{j_u^*} & \widetilde{K}(X^{2q}) & \xrightarrow{i_u^*} & \widetilde{K}(X^{2q-1}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & \searrow^{\Delta_{2q}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_u^*} & & & & \\ & & \widetilde{K}(\coprod S^{2q}) & & & & \end{array}$$

where $\Delta_{2q} = f_u^* \circ j_u^*$ and the horizontal and vertical sequences are the long exact sequences of K groups for the pairs (X^{2q}, X^{2q-1}) and (X^{2q+1}, X^{2q}) respectively. Therefore, for any $\tilde{\eta} \in \widetilde{K}(X^{2q-1})$, there must exists a stable complex vector bundle $\tilde{\eta}' \in \widetilde{K}(X^{2q})$ such that $i_u^*(\tilde{\eta}') = \tilde{\eta}$, and $\tilde{\eta}$ can be extended to X^{2q+1} if and only if

$$f_u^*(\tilde{\eta}') \in \operatorname{Im} \Delta_{2q}. \tag{3.1}$$

Denote by

$$\Sigma\colon H^{2q}(\coprod S^{2q};\mathbb{Z}) \to H^{2q+1}(X^{2q+1}, X^{2q};\mathbb{Z})$$

the suspension which is a isomorphism,

$$f^*\colon H^{2q}(X^{2q};\mathbb{Z}) \to H^{2q}(\coprod S^{2q};\mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$j^*\colon H^{2q}(X^{2q}, X^{2q-1};\mathbb{Z}) \to H^{2q}(X^{2q};\mathbb{Z})$$

the homomorphisms induced by the maps f and j respectively. It is known that the Chern characters

$$ch\colon \widetilde{K}(X^{2q}, X^{2q-1}) \to H^{2q}(X^{2q}, X^{2q-1};\mathbb{Z}),$$
$$ch\colon \widetilde{K}(\coprod S^{2q}) \to H^{2q}(\coprod S^{2q};\mathbb{Z})$$

are all isomorphisms, and the composition homomorphism

$$\Sigma \circ ch \circ \Delta_{2q} \circ ch^{-1} \colon H^{2q}(X^{2q}, X^{2q-1}; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^{2q+1}(X^{2q+1}, X^{2q}; \mathbb{Z})$$

is just the cellular coboudary homomorphism

$$d_{2q} = \Sigma \circ f^* \circ j^* \colon H^{2q}(X^{2q}, X^{2q-1}; \mathbb{Z}) \to H^{2q+1}(X^{2q+1}, X^{2q}; \mathbb{Z})$$

of the cellular cochain complex of X (cf. Atiyah and Hirzebruch [2, pp. 16-18]).

Hence by the equation (3.1), the obstruction

$$\vartheta_{2q+1}(\tilde{\eta}) \in H^{2q+1}(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

is just the cohomology class represented by

$$\Sigma \circ ch(f_u^*(\tilde{\eta}')) = \Sigma \, (\frac{c_q(f_u^*(\tilde{\eta}'))}{(q-1)!}).$$

Therefore, it follows from the surjectivity of j^* and $d_{2q} = \Sigma \circ f^* \circ j^*$ that

$$(q-1)! \cdot \mathfrak{o}(\tilde{\eta}) = 0.$$

□

Corollary 3.2. *Let X be a CW-complex. Suppose that $H^{2q+1}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ contains no $(q-1)!$-torsion. Then every stable complex vector bundle over X^{2q-1} can be extended to a complex vector bundle over X^{2q+2}.*

Proof. Note that the homomorphism $i_u^* \colon \widetilde{K}(X^{2q+2}) \to \widetilde{K}(X^{2q+1})$ is surjective. □

Similarly we can get that

Theorem 3.3. *Let X be a pathwise connected CW-complex and $\tilde{\xi} \in \widetilde{KO}(X^{4q-1})$ (resp. $\tilde{\gamma} \in \widetilde{KS\,p}(X^{4q-1})$) a stable real (resp. symplectic) vector bundle over X^{4q-1}. Denote by $\vartheta_{4q+1}(\tilde{\xi}) \in H^{4q+1}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ (resp. $\vartheta_{4q+1}(\tilde{\gamma}) \in H^{4q+1}(X; \mathbb{Z})$) the obstruction to extend $\tilde{\xi}$ (resp. $\tilde{\gamma}$) to X^{4q+1}. Then*

$$(2q-1)! \cdot a_q \cdot \vartheta_{4q+1}(\tilde{\xi}) = 0,$$
$$(2q-1)! \cdot b_q \cdot \vartheta_{4q+1}(\tilde{\xi}) = 0,$$

where $a_q \cdot b_q = 2$ and $a_q = 1$ for q even and $a_q = 2$ for q odd.

4. The proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we will take Dessai's strategy, which was used to prove [8, Theorem 1.2], to prove the Theorem 2.

Recall that M is a 10-dimensional closed oriented smooth manifold with no 2-torsion in $H_i(M; \mathbb{Z}), i = 1, 2, 3$ and no 3-torsion in $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$. It also satisfying that the Steenrod square

$$\mathrm{Sq}^2 \colon H^7(M; \mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^9(M; \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is surjective. Then M is $spin^c$, and we have fixed an element $c \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying $\rho_2(c) = w_2(M)$ and defined

$$\mathcal{D}(M) \triangleq \{x \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z}) \mid x^2 + cx = 2z_x \text{ for some } z_x \in H^4(M;\mathbb{Z})\}.$$

Denote by $i_u^*\colon \widetilde{K}(M) \to \widetilde{K}(M^7)$ and $j_u^*\colon \widetilde{K}(M) \to \widetilde{K}(M^8)$ the homomorphisms induced by the inclusions $i\colon M^7 \to M$ and $j\colon M^8 \to M$ respectively. Let $p_*\colon H^i(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^i(M;\mathbb{Q})$ be the homomorphism induced by the canonical inclusion $p\colon \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$. Recall that $\tilde{r}_{M^q}\colon \widetilde{K}(M^q) \to \widetilde{KO}(M^q)$ is the real reduction homomorphism. Then we get that

Lemma 4.1. *M has the following properties:*

(a) $\rho_2\colon H^i(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^i(M;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ *is surjective for* $i \neq 4, 5$.
(b) $\rho_2 \circ p_*^{-1}$ *is well defined on* $p_*(H^8(M;\mathbb{Z}))$.
(c) The annihilator of $\mathrm{Sq}^2\rho_2 H^6(M;\mathbb{Z})$ *with respect to the cup-product is equal to* $\rho_2(\mathcal{D}(M))$.
(d) For any stable complex vector bundle $\tilde{\eta}' \in \widetilde{K}(M^7)$*, there must exists a stable complex vector bundle* $\tilde{\eta} \in \widetilde{K}(M)$ *such that* $i_u^*(\tilde{\eta}) = \tilde{\eta}'$.
(e) Let $\tilde{\xi} \in \widetilde{KO}(M)$ *be a stable real vector bundle over* M*. Then there must exists a stable complex vector bundle* $\tilde{\eta} \in \widetilde{K}(M)$*, such that* $\tilde{r}_{M^7} i_u^*(\tilde{\eta}) = i_o^*(\tilde{\xi})$*. Moreover, if* $\tilde{r}_{M^8} j_u^*(\tilde{\eta}) = j_o^*(\tilde{\xi})$*,* $\tilde{\xi}$ *must admits a stable complex structure.*

Proof. (a) Since $H_i(M;\mathbb{Z})$ has no 2-torsion for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 10$, the same is true for $H^i(M;\mathbb{Z})$, $i \neq 5, 6$ (universal coefficient theorem and Poinaré Duality). Hence the statement is true by using the long exact Bockstein sequence.
(b) That is because the kernel of $p_*\colon H^8(M;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^8(M;\mathbb{Q})$ is an odd torsion which maps to zero under ρ_2.
(c) Let $y \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z}/2)$. Note that the Wu class V_2 is $w_2(M)$. Then it follows from Cartan formula that for any $z \in H^6(M;\mathbb{Z})$

$$y \cdot \mathrm{Sq}^2\rho_2(z) = 0 \qquad \text{iff} \qquad (w_2(M) \cdot y + y^2) \cdot \rho_2(z) = 0.$$

Hence the statement is true by the statement (a) and the definition of $\mathfrak{D}(M)$.
(d) Since $H^9(M;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$ has no 2-torsion and 3-torsion, the statement can be deduced easily from Corollary 3.2.
(e) The statements can be proved by combining the identity (1.1) and the statement (d) with the Theorem 1.

□

Denote by $[M]$ the fundamental class of M, $\langle \cdot\,,\, \cdot \rangle$ the Kronecker product and

$$\hat{\mathfrak{A}}(M) = 1 - \frac{p_1(M)}{24} + \frac{-4p_2(M) + 7p_1^2(M)}{5760}$$

the $\mathfrak{A}$ class of M. For any $x \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$, we will denote by l_x the complex line bundle over M with

$$c_1(l_x) = x.$$

For any $x \in \mathfrak{D}(M)$, we may choose a class $v_x \in H^6(M;\mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\rho_2(v_x) = \mathrm{Sq}^2\rho_2 z_x.$$

Since M has the properties as in Lemma 4.1, the results below can be deduced easily by apllying the methods of Dessai in [8].

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\tilde{\xi} \in \widetilde{KO}(M)$ be a stable oriented vector bundle over M. Then $\tilde{\xi}$ admits a stable complex structure if and only if*

$$\rho_2 \circ p_*^{-1}(ch_4(\tilde{c}_M(\tilde{r}_M(\tilde{\eta}) - \tilde{\xi}))) \in \mathrm{Sq}^2\rho_2 H^6(M:\mathbb{Z})$$

for some stable complex vector bunlde $\tilde{\eta} \in \widetilde{K}(M)$ satisfying $\tilde{r}_{M^7} i_u^*(\tilde{\eta}) = i_o^*(\tilde{\xi})$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $c \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ be an integral class satisfying $\rho_2(c) = w_2(M)$. For any $x \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ there is a stable complex vector bundle $\tilde{\eta}_x \in \widetilde{K}(M)$ trivial over the* 3*-skeleton such that*

$$e^{c/2} \cdot ch(\tilde{l}_x - \tilde{\eta}_x) \equiv x + (\frac{x^3}{6} - \frac{xc^2}{8} - \frac{v_x}{2}) \mod H^{\geq 8}(M;\mathbb{Q}).$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let M be a* 10*-dimensional closed oriented smooth manifold as in Theorem* 2*. Let $\tilde{\xi} \in \widetilde{KO}(M)$ be a stable real vector bundle over M. Choose $c \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ (resp. $d \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$) satisfying $\rho_2(c) = w_2(M)$ (resp. $\rho_2(d) = w_2(\tilde{\xi})$). Then $\tilde{\xi}$ admits a stable complex structure if and only if*

$$\langle \hat{\mathfrak{A}}(M) \cdot e^{c/2} \cdot ch(\tilde{l}_x - \tilde{\eta}_x) \cdot ch(\tilde{c}_M(\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{l}_d)), [M]\rangle \equiv 0 \mod 2 \tag{4.1}$$

holds for every $x \in \mathfrak{D}(M)$.

Proof of the Lemmas 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4. cf. the proves of [8, Lemmas 1.7, 1.8, Theorem 1.9]. □

Remark 4.5. Since M is $spin^c$, it follow from the Differentiable Riemann-Roch theorem (cf. Atiyah-Hirzebruch [1, Corollary 1]) that the rational number

$$\langle \hat{\mathfrak{A}}(M) \cdot e^{c/2} \cdot ch(\tilde{l}_x - \tilde{\eta}_x) \cdot ch(\tilde{c}_M(\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{l}_d)), [M]\rangle$$

is integral, so it make sense to take congruent classes modulo 2.

In fact, the congruence (4.1) can be simplified, hence Lemma 4.4 can be restated as follows.

Theorem 4.6. *Let M be a* 10*-dimensional closed oriented smooth manifold with no* 2*-torsion in $H_i(M;\mathbb{Z})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, no* 3*-torsion in $H_1(M;\mathbb{Z})$. Suppose that the Steenrod square*

$$\mathrm{Sq}^2 \colon H^7(M;\mathbb{Z}/2) \to H^9(M;\mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is surjective. Let ξ be a real vector bundle over M. Choose integral class $d \in H^2(M;\mathbb{Z})$ such that $\rho_2(d) = w_2(\xi)$. Set

$$A_{\xi,x} = \langle \frac{x}{2} \cdot \frac{p_1(\xi) - d^2}{2} \cdot (\frac{p_1(\xi) - d^2}{2} - \frac{p_1(M) - c^2}{2}), [M]\rangle.$$

Then ξ admits a stable complex structure if and only if

$$A_{\xi,x} \equiv (w_8(\xi) + w_2(\xi)\mathrm{Sq}^2(w_4(\xi))) \cdot \rho_2(x) + \mathrm{Sq}^2(z_x)w_4(\xi) \mod 2 \tag{4.2}$$

holds for every $x \in \mathcal{D}(M)$.

Remark 4.7. One may find that the rational number $A_{\xi,x}$ is integral (see the proof of this Theorem below), so it make sense to take congruent classes modulo 2.

Proof. Let $F = \tilde{\xi} - \tilde{l}_d$. Then F is a stable *spin* vector bundle since $w_2(F) = 0$. Therefore, the *spin* characteristic classes

$$q_i(F) \in H^{4i}(M;\mathbb{Z}),\ i = 1, 2,$$

of F are defined, and they satisfy the following relations (cf. Thomas [15])

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(F) &= 2q_1(F), & \rho_2(q_1(F)) &= w_4(F),\\ p_2(F) &= 2q_2(F) + q_1^2(F), & \rho_2(q_2(F)) &= w_8(F). \end{aligned}$$

Since we have the equations below

$$\begin{aligned} x^3 &= 2xz_x - 2cz_x + c^2x,\\ q_1(F) &= (p_1(\xi) - d^2)/2,\\ w_4(F) &= w_4(\xi),\\ w_8(F) &= w_8(\xi) + w_2(\xi)w_6(\xi) + w_2^2(\xi)w_4(\xi),\\ w_6(\xi) &= \mathrm{Sq}^2(w_4(\xi)) + w_2(\xi)w_4(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \hat{\mathfrak{A}}(M) \cdot e^{c/2} \cdot ch(\tilde{l}_x - \tilde{\eta}_x) \cdot ch(\tilde{c}_M(\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{l}_d)), [M]\rangle \equiv 0 \mod 2\\ \text{iff}\quad &3\langle \hat{\mathfrak{A}}(M) \cdot e^{c/2} \cdot ch(\tilde{l}_x - \tilde{\eta}_x) \cdot ch(\tilde{c}_M(\tilde{\xi} - \tilde{l}_d)), [M]\rangle \equiv 0 \mod 2\\ \text{iff}\quad &\frac{x}{2} \cdot q_1(F)[q_1(F) - \frac{p_1(M) - c^2}{2}] - q_2(F)x - v_x q_1(F) \equiv 0 \mod 2\\ \text{iff}\quad &A_{\xi,x} \equiv (w_8(\xi) + w_2(\xi)\mathrm{Sq}^2(w_4(\xi))) \cdot \rho_2(x) + \mathrm{Sq}^2(z_x)w_4(\xi) \mod 2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2. Denote by $V_i \in H^i(M;\mathbb{Z}/2)$ the Wu-class which is the unique class satisfying

$$\mathrm{Sq}^i u = V_i \cdot u$$

for any $u \in H^{10-i}(M;\mathbb{Z})$. It is known that they satisfy (cf. [13, p. 132])

$$w_k(M) = \Sigma_{i=0}^{k} \mathrm{Sq}^i V_{k-i}.$$

Hence we get that

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 &= w_2(M),\\ V_4 &= w_4(M) + w_2^2(M),\\ V_5 &= 0,\\ w_8(M) &= w_4^2(M) + w_2^4(M). \end{aligned}$$

Note that for any $x \in \mathfrak{D}(M)$, we have

$$\rho_2^2(x) = \rho_2(x) \cdot w_2(M).$$

Therefore, for any $x \in \mathfrak{D}(M)$, we can get that

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_2(x)w_2(M)\mathrm{Sq}^2 w_4(M) &= \mathrm{Sq}^2(\rho_2(x)w_2(M)w_4(M)) \\ &= \rho_2(x)w_2^2(M)w_4(M).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_2(x)(w_2^4(M) + w_2(M)\mathrm{Sq}^2 w_4(M)) &= \rho_2(x)w_2^2(M)(w_4(M) + w_2^2(M)) \\ &= \mathrm{Sq}^4(\rho_2(x)w_2^2(M)) \\ &= \rho_2(x)w_2^4(M) \\ &= \rho_2^4(x)w_2(M) \\ &= \mathrm{Sq}^2(\rho_2^4(x)) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Then Theorem 2 can be deduced easily from the Theorem 4.6 and the identity (4.3) by choosing that $\xi = TM$ and $d = c$. □

Proof of Corollary 1.10. If M is *spin*, $\mathfrak{D}(M)$ generated by $2h$. Hence the congruence (1.2) is always true.

If M is not *spin*, $\mathfrak{D}(M)$ generated by h and $\rho_2(h) = w_2(M)$. Therefore, we only need to check the congruence (1.2) for $x = h$. Note that the Wu class V_5 is zero. In this case, $z_x = h^2$,

$$w_4^2(M)\rho_2(x) = w_2(M)w_4^2(M) = \mathrm{Sq}^2(w_4^2(M)) = (\mathrm{Sq}^1 w_4(M))^2 = \mathrm{Sq}^5\mathrm{Sq}^1 w_4(M) = 0,$$

and

$$\mathrm{Sq}^2(z_x)w_4(M) = \mathrm{Sq}^2(h^2)w_4(M) = 0.$$

Hence the congruence (1.2) is always true for this case.

These prove the Corollary 1.10. □

References

1. M. F. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch, *Riemann-Roch theorems for differentiable manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **65** (1959), 276–281.
2. ———, *Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. III, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1961, pp. 7–38.
3. A. Borel, *Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrś principaus et des espaces homogenes de groupes de lie compacts*, Ann. of Math. **57** (1953), 115–207.
4. R. Bott, *The stable homotopy of the classical groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **70** (1959), 313–337.
5. ———, *Lectures on $K(X)$*, Mathematics Lecture Note Series, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1969.
6. M. Čadek, M. Crabb, and J. Vanžura, *Obstruction theory on* 8*-manifolds*, Manuscripta Math. **127** (2008), no. 3, 167–186.

7. M. Čadek and J. Vanžura, *On complex structures in* 8*-dimensional vector bundles*, Manuscripta Math. **95** (1998), no. 3, 323–330.
8. A. Dessai, *Some remarks on almost and stable almost complex manifolds*, Math. Nachr. **192** (1998), 159–172.
9. C. Ehresmann, *Sur les variétés presque complexes*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Cambridge, Mass., 1950, vol. 2, 1952, pp. 412–419.
10. M. Fujii, *K_O-groups of projective spaces*, Osaka J. Math. **4** (1967), 141–149.
11. T. Heaps, *Almost complex structures on eight- and ten-dimensional manifolds*, Topology **9** (1970), 111–119.
12. W. S. Massey, *Obstructions to the existence of almost complex structures*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (1961), 559–564.
13. John W. Milnor and James D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76.
14. R. M. Switzer, *Algebraic topology—homotopy and homology*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
15. E. Thomas, *On the cohomology groups of the classifying space for the stable spinor groups*, Bol. Soc. Math. Mex. (1962), 57–69.
16. ———, *Complex structures on real vector bundles*, Amer. J. Math. **89** (1967), 887–908.
17. W.T. Wu, *Sur les classes caractéristiques des structures fibrées sphériques*, Actualités Sci. Ind., no. 1183, Hermann & Cie, Paris, 1952.
18. Huijun Yang, *A note on stable complex structures on real vector bundles over manifolds*, Topology Appl. **189** (2015), 1–9.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University
Kaifeng 475004, Henan, China
yhj@amss.ac.cn